2011 LRB-1187

"/P5" ->"/P6"

Hanaman, Cathlene

Hanaman, Cathlene

To: Thornton, Scott - DOA
Subject: RE: Follow-up to phone message on LRB-1216/P2

From: Thornton, Scott - DOA [mailto:scott.thornton@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2011 4:17 PM

To: Hanaman, Cathlene
Cc: Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Hanle, Bob - DOA
Subject: RE: Follow-up to phone message on LRB-1216/P2

I have not found anyone objecting here, so if you could make the change that way it would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Scott

From: Thornton, Scott - DOA [mailto:scott.thornton@wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2011 2:24 PM

To: Hanaman, Cathlene

Cc: Hanle, Bob - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA

Subject: RE: Follow-up to phone message on LRB-1216/P2

We do want them included. Could you add them to the definition of an agency under 16.70 (1e)?

Thanks,

Scott

From: Hanaman, Cathlene [mailto:Cathlene.Hanaman@legis.wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2011 2:22 PM

To: Thornton, Scott - DOA

Cc: Hanle, Bob - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA

Subject: RE: Follow-up to phone message on LRB-1216/P2

I thought that was what Bob decided. If they are not mentioned, they are not included. They are not an agency.

From: Thornton, Scott - DOA [mailto:scott.thornton@wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2011 2:20 PM

To: Hanaman, Cathlene

Cc: Hanle, Bob - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA

Subject: Follow-up to phone message on LRB-1216/P2

Hi Cathlene -

As indicated in my voice message we are not comfortable with the addition of "or the University of Wisconsin-Madison" to the language regarding procurement. They are to be treated as any other agency and by calling them out the concern is that they will assume they do not need delegation from the State Bureau of Procurement.

Thanks,

Scott

Scott B. Thornton
Policy Initiatives Advisor - Administrative
State Budget Office
Wisconsin Department of Administration
(608) 266-5051
scott.thornton@wi.gov